

MPEP 713.01 to resolve any remaining requirements and/or issues prior to sending another Office Action. Relevant portions of MPEP 713.01 are included on the signature page of this amendment.

Claims 115, 116, 119, 120 and 124 have been amended as suggested by the Examiner to overcome the rejection of these claims under 35 USC 112, first paragraph. ✓

Added claims 130, 131, 133, 134, 135, 137 and 140 corresponds to claims 1, 17, 28, 72, 77, 86 and 103, respectively, replaced by "Group III B".

Added claims 132, 135, 136, 138, 139 and 140 corresponds to claims 19, 77, 80, 94, 96 and 103, respectively, with "layered-type perovskite-like" replaced by "substantially layered perovskite" or "perovskite-like" replaced by "substantially perovskite".

Support for added claims 141-220 can be found through out the specification.

In view of the changes to the claims and the remarks herein, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider the above-identified application. If the Examiner wishes to discuss the application further, or if additional information would be required, the undersigned will cooperate fully to assist in the prosecution of this application.

Please charge any fee necessary to enter this paper and any previous paper to deposit account 09-0468.

If the above-identified Examiner's Action is a final Action, and if the above-identified application will be abandoned without


further action by applicants, applicants file a Notice of Appeal to the Board of Appeals and Interferences appealing the final rejection of the claims in the above-identified Examiner's Action. Please charge deposit account 09-0468 any fee necessary to enter such Notice of Appeal.

In the event that this amendment does not result in allowance of all such claims, the undersigned attorney respectfully requests a telephone interview at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

MPEP 713.01 states in part as follows:

Where the response to a first complete action includes a request for an interview or a telephone consultation to be initiated by the examiner, ... the examiner, as soon as he or she has considered the effect of the response, should grant such request if it appears that the interview or consultation would result in expediting the case to a final action.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: 
Daniel P. Morris
Registration No. 32,053

IBM Corporation
Intellectual Property Law Dept.
P.O. Box 218
Yorktown Heights, New York 10598
(914) 945-3217